

An Important Matter

There seems to be some doubt among medical men as to the value of seat belts in preventing fatalities in automobile accidents. This was apparent at the inquest proceedings here on Tuesday night into the recent fatal accident at Marshfield, in the testimony of two medical witnesses. Nevertheless, the jury in its verdict recommended the use of seat belts on all motor vehicles and the need for them was underlined by the coroner, Dr. Prowse, in a statement before the jury.

With all due respect to those who may disagree with me, we think the coroner in this case had the strong end of the argument. He pointed out that the Canadian Medical Association strongly recommended this safety device as a result of findings of its special committee on traffic accidents. Approval has also been given by the Canadian Highway Safety Council and the coroner, from his own wide experience, said he had never seen a death or serious injury in this Province where seat belts were used.

It was his duty, in the circumstances, to advise the jury to this effect. We commend Dr. Prowse for having done so and the jury for following his lead in his particular recommendation. In this particular instance, it would be said that the victim would have suffered a fatal accident in any case; but the same could be said about the chances involved in the use of other safety devices. No one can be sure that they will always prove effective.

The point is that no community can afford to neglect precautions of any kind in a matter of this importance. Safety belts are credited with reducing the death toll on highways not only in Canada, but in the United States, in Britain and elsewhere. That should be enough to warrant giving them a thorough tryout in Prince Edward Island. With 17 deaths reported on our highways already this year, we shouldn't waste any time about it.

Sheds His Ermine

In London the other day, crowds of visitors outside Parliament, in the shadow of Big Ben, were puzzled at a youthful figure in shirt-sleeves who was the focus of all the cameras. He wasn't a film star, nor was he involved, even as a minor witness, in the "sex and security" scandals that are so much in British headlines at this time. But he was responsible for a new statute being passed at Westminster to which the Queen's assent has now been given.

Even from this distance, there is something intriguing about the story of Anthony Wedgwood Benn and the manner in which he shed his ermine and officially became plain Mr. Benn instead of Lord Stansgate. For ten years he had fought for the right of a Briton to renounce the peerage. All the force of tradition was against him, but as a result of his fight the law was finally changed by Parliament this summer.

The story gives a new twist to the once-popular fictional tale of the American heiress who is wooed by the impoverished British lord in the interests of the crumbling ancestral castle (with no plumbing). Mr. Benn happens to have an American wife, Caroline. And she has fought beside him all along to get rid of her title of Lady Stansgate. She says she is delighted they have at last won out and that she would not lose any sleep over the matter.

Mr. Benn was a Labor member in the House of Commons, but he had to give up his seat when the death

of his father made him a peer and disqualified him from being an M.P. Now, under the new law, he plans to run for his old Bristol Southeast seat in a by-election. And he didn't waste a moment in taking advantage of the royal assent to the bill. As the House of Lords was hearing it, Mr. Benn had his "instrument of disclaimer" all ready to present to the Clerk of the Crown.

Some observers in Westminster are saying that the new Peerage Act marks the beginning of the end of the hereditary system on which the House of Lords rests. At any rate, it adds an interesting footnote to British history.

Awaiting Ratification

While the limited nuclear test ban treaty has been signed, it still hasn't been ratified by the United States Senate. Today it is being sent to that august body by President Kennedy, who predicts that after careful assessment it will be approved by the necessary two-thirds majority. In that case it will become the 1197th international treaty the Senate will have ratified since 1789.

Despite the near-certainty of the vote, it appears that the hearings and special speeches preceding the action will add up to an exhaustive review of U.S. military security and its entire foreign policy. The President himself has called for a "historic and constructive debate" prior to the vote; and the Senators will doubtless be quite willing to oblige. Apart from their views on the treaty, the opportunity of scoring political and personal points, just as the 1964 campaign gets under way, will be too tempting to pass up.

In some quarters indeed, the Senate's "great debate" is seen as transcending in importance the ratification of the nuclear pact. Among other things involved will be the painstaking questioning in committee of what promises to be a star-studded parade of witnesses drawn from the nation's political, scientific, military and intellectual life.

Pulling Their Punches

What a shame that last vote in Parliament was before it reached for the summer, with a total of 101 M.P.s absent or who did not vote. Of these, 28 were paired—a pre-arrangement between adversaries who both plan to be away. Only 48 of the 95 Conservatives in Parliament voted; 11 of the 24 Socials and 13 of the 17 New Democrats.

The vote came during the last opportunity to kill the controversial sales tax on building materials and machinery. All three Opposition parties voted against the Government, but the Government won out. This is one way, of course, to keep a tottering administration in power. No one wants to force an election at this one time, and perhaps what we are seeing at this session is a painful searching of ways and means of enabling the government to survive while still constantly reminding it of its vulnerability. But surely something better than this crude display of shadow-boxing can be worked out when Parliament resumes in the fall. It is a source of derision to the electors. It is not an insult to their intelligence.

EDITORIAL NOTES

The Canadian Medical Association has asked its members to stop cigarette smoking, reports the Financial Post. And if the habit has got them completely hooked, the CMA is asking doctors to do their smoking behind closed doors.

Concerned about the supply of fully-trained nurses in Ontario, a special committee has made recommendations to the Minister of Health for a program to double the yearly output of nurses from 2,500 to 5,000. The demands of a rising population, more hospitals, and public health programs are given as reasons for the growing shortage.

A former President of the United States has set sail for a return visit to the scenes of war when he was General Dwight D. Eisenhower, commander of the free world forces in their drive across Western Europe. He will tour old bases in England and battlegrounds in France and take part in the filming of a television program that will be shown next year to commemorate the 20th anniversary of D-Day. The trip, General Eisenhower declares, will be "an ad-venturous in program."

By Dr. Theodoros R. Van Dellen
Most mouthwashes provide a relief of taste and odor. And this is just about all they do, according to the Council on Dental Therapeutics of the American Dental Association. They are not treating and treating disease. Various mouth washes kill germs, but too often, the organisms that are killed have nothing to do with dental decay.

The mouth is a regular zoological unit and it is futile and unwise to attempt to destroy the organisms that are not harmful. In addition, the use of a toothbrush will do more to kill strength shortly after gargling.

The consumer takes a dim view on the idea that mouthwashes overcome halitosis. This condition originates in the addition to poor oral hygiene and oral diseases. If brushing the teeth is a good habit, the use of mouthwash is wiser to investigate the cause of the odor, it is rather to rely upon a tooth wash.

The teeth are cleaned more thoroughly with a toothbrush than a gargle. Rinsing removes only loose debris and, for this purpose, water is equally effective. Electric tooth brushes continue their popularity. They provide an up and down brushing motion that is rapid and efficient. Mike alone of the Toy Cabinet does not establish at least a part-time Ottawa home, he has always made the north west trip to Ottawa every week end, and left his family there.

House here and moved into a new apartment. George Hees passed his apartment over to L. I. B. Agricultural Minister Harry Hays, Pierre Sevigny and the Ottawa Home and moving back to Montreal; John Diefenbaker switched homes with Mike Pearson, moving from P. R. M. Minister's residence to that of the Leader of the Opposition. A few who are still M.P.s continue to live in houses which they had bought here, but those who used to enjoy chauffeur'd transportation and even army cars must now do without.

Gallop Remedy

Damage with resultant insurance premium increase, make the intersection a prime object of study by Safety Engineers with a view of reducing the yearly toll. Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws.

Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws. A round 50 per cent of all motor accidents last year occurred at road intersections, as many as all other locations combined, which are immediately places the road intersection as the potentially most hazardous place. True, the percentage of deaths and serious injuries at intersections does not match that of the superhighway or level R.R. crossing, but the losses in property

No Magic Formula

Although ten members of the royal commission inquiring into bilingualism and biculturalism in Canada will receive \$100 for each day the commission sits, few will envy them as they set out to tackle one of the toughest assignments of the century.

No matter how earnestly the French and English speaking citizens work to make bilingualism effective in one nation, the Canadian society as a whole remains reluctant to do so. It is virtually impossible to educate a majority of citizens to be masters of the two languages. This sets up a lack of communication between groups of the citizenry, and the fault lies not with the citizens who are inevitable.

Computing Chinese

The IBM computer has been lacking Russian-English translation and similar complications for more than a year. Now a report from the Manchester Guardian says programmers have at last figured out a way to get it to translate Chinese into English. The complexities are astounding. The Chinese alphabet is a collection of more than 2,000 characters with a wide variety of English, either linguistically or grammatically, is not possible. The programmers could have given up, consulting themselves by saying the need for useful translation would be slight anyway. But no, someone devised a programming system which uses a voluble typewriter keyboard with one key for each of 38 different characters. The system is a Chinese character, others for 30 different lower parts. The operator pushes the keys corresponding to each part separately, and this

Limited Value In Mouthwashes, Dentists Claim

By Dr. Theodoros R. Van Dellen
Most mouthwashes provide a relief of taste and odor. And this is just about all they do, according to the Council on Dental Therapeutics of the American Dental Association. They are not treating and treating disease. Various mouth washes kill germs, but too often, the organisms that are killed have nothing to do with dental decay.

The mouth is a regular zoological unit and it is futile and unwise to attempt to destroy the organisms that are not harmful. In addition, the use of a toothbrush will do more to kill strength shortly after gargling.

The consumer takes a dim view on the idea that mouthwashes overcome halitosis. This condition originates in the addition to poor oral hygiene and oral diseases. If brushing the teeth is a good habit, the use of mouthwash is wiser to investigate the cause of the odor, it is rather to rely upon a tooth wash.

The teeth are cleaned more thoroughly with a toothbrush than a gargle. Rinsing removes only loose debris and, for this purpose, water is equally effective. Electric tooth brushes continue their popularity. They provide an up and down brushing motion that is rapid and efficient. Mike alone of the Toy Cabinet does not establish at least a part-time Ottawa home, he has always made the north west trip to Ottawa every week end, and left his family there.

House here and moved into a new apartment. George Hees passed his apartment over to L. I. B. Agricultural Minister Harry Hays, Pierre Sevigny and the Ottawa Home and moving back to Montreal; John Diefenbaker switched homes with Mike Pearson, moving from P. R. M. Minister's residence to that of the Leader of the Opposition. A few who are still M.P.s continue to live in houses which they had bought here, but those who used to enjoy chauffeur'd transportation and even army cars must now do without.

Built-In Hazards

Damage with resultant insurance premium increase, make the intersection a prime object of study by Safety Engineers with a view of reducing the yearly toll. Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws.

Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws. A round 50 per cent of all motor accidents last year occurred at road intersections, as many as all other locations combined, which are immediately places the road intersection as the potentially most hazardous place. True, the percentage of deaths and serious injuries at intersections does not match that of the superhighway or level R.R. crossing, but the losses in property

No Magic Formula

Although ten members of the royal commission inquiring into bilingualism and biculturalism in Canada will receive \$100 for each day the commission sits, few will envy them as they set out to tackle one of the toughest assignments of the century.

No matter how earnestly the French and English speaking citizens work to make bilingualism effective in one nation, the Canadian society as a whole remains reluctant to do so. It is virtually impossible to educate a majority of citizens to be masters of the two languages. This sets up a lack of communication between groups of the citizenry, and the fault lies not with the citizens who are inevitable.

Computing Chinese

The IBM computer has been lacking Russian-English translation and similar complications for more than a year. Now a report from the Manchester Guardian says programmers have at last figured out a way to get it to translate Chinese into English. The complexities are astounding. The Chinese alphabet is a collection of more than 2,000 characters with a wide variety of English, either linguistically or grammatically, is not possible. The programmers could have given up, consulting themselves by saying the need for useful translation would be slight anyway. But no, someone devised a programming system which uses a voluble typewriter keyboard with one key for each of 38 different characters. The system is a Chinese character, others for 30 different lower parts. The operator pushes the keys corresponding to each part separately, and this

NOTES BY THE WAY

A statistician reports that the average person takes more than 16,000 steps a day. And so many people do it without any real idea of where they are going. Financial Post.

A wrecking firm in Winnipeg took the blackboard from a two-room school, smashed desks and stripped the walls before they realized they were in the wrong building. And they weren't stopped then!—Ottawa Journal.

Because of the "objectionable small" four neighbors of Miss Nas Cotton, who keeps 60 cats at her bungalow at Ambleside Avenue, Teacombe, near Brighton, she was granted a reduction in their rate assessments of between 10 pounds and 18 pounds each. Miss Cotton, a former concert pianist, has fought several legal battles to keep her cats.—London Times.

Rival Red Factions

The recent unsuccessful talks in Moscow on the Soviet-Chinese Communist ideological dispute has proven rather conclusively that communism is splitting into two camps: pro-Moscow and pro-Peking.

Now, arising from this split, comrades talk of a third faction, centred in Latin America. It contains elements of both the ambition and is seen as one in which the Moscow line might be wiped out without violence that might bring global war. Peking's line is to settle power aggressively and violently without regard for risk.

DISSENSION GROWS
Broad discussion along these same lines is reported developed in Latin-American Communist movements. It is a situation which has existed for several years but which has become more open as the Chinese and Soviet parties moved farther apart.

THE CHINESE challenge to Moscow's leadership has encouraged the Soviet troops in Cuba and within the pro-Khrushchev faction of Europe to seek more independence and concessions. It may also be giving Communist leaders in Latin America—particularly former Fidel Castro of Cuba, a strong dividend.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

INFECTIOUS mononucleosis is a good time to get it. The operation is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

THE OPERATION is not new but the technique is. It was recently. This procedure is recommended only for a selected group of patients who do not progress beyond the stage of tremor and muscle rigidity. In these persons, the results are good to very good.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.



THE MAN WITH THE BIG NOSES

OTTAWA REPORT by Patrick Nicholson

Here And There On Parliament Hill

One of the proudest and happiest homebards—bound Mr. Pe was Bert Leboe, Social Credit member for Carleton, B.C. Included in the family which he escorted home to Prince George was his four week old Ottawa-born eighth child, Mr. and Mrs. Leboe and their children are well known as a close-knit family. They have just celebrated their 27th wedding anniversary. In their unusual family, they have just celebrated their 27th wedding anniversary. In their unusual family, they have just celebrated their 27th wedding anniversary.

Built-In Hazards

Damage with resultant insurance premium increase, make the intersection a prime object of study by Safety Engineers with a view of reducing the yearly toll. Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws.

Rural interferences, sometimes present obstruction of vision by hedges and fences which can be removed in most communities simply by enforcing existing by-laws. A round 50 per cent of all motor accidents last year occurred at road intersections, as many as all other locations combined, which are immediately places the road intersection as the potentially most hazardous place.

No Magic Formula

Although ten members of the royal commission inquiring into bilingualism and biculturalism in Canada will receive \$100 for each day the commission sits, few will envy them as they set out to tackle one of the toughest assignments of the century.

No matter how earnestly the French and English speaking citizens work to make bilingualism effective in one nation, the Canadian society as a whole remains reluctant to do so. It is virtually impossible to educate a majority of citizens to be masters of the two languages. This sets up a lack of communication between groups of the citizenry, and the fault lies not with the citizens who are inevitable.

Computing Chinese

The IBM computer has been lacking Russian-English translation and similar complications for more than a year. Now a report from the Manchester Guardian says programmers have at last figured out a way to get it to translate Chinese into English. The complexities are astounding. The Chinese alphabet is a collection of more than 2,000 characters with a wide variety of English, either linguistically or grammatically, is not possible. The programmers could have given up, consulting themselves by saying the need for useful translation would be slight anyway. But no, someone devised a programming system which uses a voluble typewriter keyboard with one key for each of 38 different characters. The system is a Chinese character, others for 30 different lower parts. The operator pushes the keys corresponding to each part separately, and this

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.

The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket. The biggest objection to an income tax is that it keeps taking money out of your pocket.