

imperialist-fascist con't

ulation as dishonest intellectuals who will spend their lives confusing these basic facts of life and rendering it impossible to understand anything, to believe in anything and to accomplish anything worthwhile in life -- i.e. to have any contribution whatsoever to the cause of humanity. If you have no principles and become an expert at debating points, then you are a good instrument for the imperialists who use the Hitlerite logic that if you repeat a lie often enough, then the masses of people will believe it.

It is because in my exam I refused to compromise on the question of principle and refused to slander the People's Republic of China that the professor failed me. All the other students in the class should look into this matter closely and resolutely oppose this misuse of their education. We have come to university to seek truth from facts to serve the people. However, day after day we are confronted with the reality of the imperialist university in which we are given the ultimatum -- either we prostitute ourselves in the service of imperialism and become thoroughly dishonest intellectuals or else we are all failed. When I went to the professor after I received my grade and asked him why I got such a low grade (in my exam I thoroughly denounced the question, refused to slander People's China and explained China's socialist foreign policy - I received 29 points out of the 69 allotted points for this question) he answered that it was because I did not answer the question.

I asked him if this was the case why I did not receive a zero. He told me that he never gives anyone below this grade because then they cannot catch up their grade in subsequent examinations & papers. This means that the grade I and another student received for refusing to answer the question is in effect a zero and that the act of giving us 29 points is just a further trick for himself to get off the hook. When he was confronted by the students in the class as to why he asked such a treacherous exam question, he replied that he asked the question in order that the students not leave with 'a one-sided view of the People's Republic of China'. When it was exposed that he always gave the same anti-China view year in and year out, he simply replied that he was the professor and has the right to do this and that these are the rules. As more and more students in the class came forward to protest against the examination question, the professor flippantly answered

that he in fact asked the question to provoke all this great discussion that was going on in the class as a result of it and that he should in fact be praised for asking it. To which one student replied that he had the same logic as the imperialists who presumably go into countries to plunder the people in order to provoke the people to rise up against them. The fact that the students were enraged over a question of principle and that they will not be used to slander what is just and praise what is unjust is irrelevant to the professor. His view that he asked the question solely to provoke 'discussion' and 'heated debate' shows the extent of his arrogance and disregard for the interests of the students. It shows his determination to stick to his view that to uphold principle is both impossible and a sign of weakness whereas 'heated discussions are held for the fun of it' and 'debates are for intellectual exercise' but that no knowledge can be sought to serve the people.

I strongly protest against all attempts to make me a dishonest intellectual. I resolutely denounce the attempt to have me utter slanders against the People's Republic of China for the purpose of being used as an instrument of U.S. imperialist and Soviet

social imperialist propaganda against the People's Republic of China.

I demand that this examination be disqualified, that the professor be made to publicly apologize for attempting to use the students as instruments to slander the People's Republic of China, that the professor desist from ever engaging in this type of activity again and that the Political Science Association set up a permanent committee to advise the future activities of this professor and that in particular, it be insisted upon by the Political Science Association that all his examination questions be supervised by the department of political science and that on no occasion should he be allowed to demand that the students answer questions which support imperialism and denounce the socialist countries, or rely on the use of the method of 'debate' and 'pure logic' to 'prove' arguments which are false and as such can be nothing but in the service of imperialism and all reaction.

WE WILL NOT BE TRAINED AS DISHONEST INTELLECTUALS! WE WILL NOT BE USED IN THE SERVICE OF IMPERIALISM!!

Anna Campagna
(Political Science 349B)

