

the X-Press intimidates me. I believe, however, that there are others on this campus who would have taken part in the paper's development were it not for its association with mediocrity.

I have a wish, a wish that all those who feel that men and women are human beings first and separate sexes second would stand up and be counted. I wish that everyone who recognizes that there are some injustices in our world against women and minorities would, instead of attacking these groups, work with them to find creative solutions to with these long-standing wrongs. I wish that all people would realize that in each sex there are chauvinists and radicals, but for the most part, men like women and women like men. If my desire to encourage peace and harmony among the sexes sounds a little too optimistic, perhaps this will help: Many people consider themselves feminists, and yet we all get along fabulously, for we respect each other. I would like to think that at the lowest levels of the debate that had gone on this year between the "Politically Correct" and the "backlash", the opponents involved have remembered to respect their adversaries' right to hold their point of view. Your job, Mr. Large, should have been to promote tolerance for different views on campus. You have failed. As an editor of a campus newspaper, you should have written editorials and developed an editorial policy of explaining all points of view, including the middle ground. This is your last chance, Mr. Large. The middle ground is wide open. Come out against date rape because it is wrong, not for any feminist reason other than that it is a woman's prerogative to say "No". Come out for motherhood, love and marriage because they are beyond reproach. Speak against intolerance on the basis of sex, race, and religion. Most people will support you. I will be among the first to stand up and be counted.

Yours truly,  
Stephen Heckbert

ESSAYS  
RESUMES

TYPING  
566-5443

LASER PRINTER

Dear Mr. Editor;

I am writing to ask you to correct an omission. In the March 19, 1992 issue of the X-Press, my letter concerning the proposed UPEI Employment Equity policy was printed.

My name, however, did not appear.

It was neither intention nor my expectation that my name be withheld at the time of publication. I fully expected my name to appear and be associated with the ideas in the letter.

Please publish this letter in order to set straight this part of the record.

Sincerely

R. David Smith, Ph.D.

Department of Sociology and Anthropology

tel. (902) 566-0433

FAX: (902) 566-0420

BITNET: DSMITH@UPEI.CA1

Dear Editor,

I must question an interesting phenomenon that I discovered in the course of my experience with the X-Press. I do appreciate the paper for giving me the opportunity to make my voice heard, and congratulate it for the diversity of opinion it has permitted; but I am curious about the implications of the following: When I planned the supplement for this week's X-Press, I was told that it would not be fair to have a Christian supplement, since that would be biased, giving unequal opportunity to a single point of view. In order to receive publication, I would have to simply be part of a general Religious Supplement, including several other points of view. That was not an unreasonable request for you to make. My article on pluralism (see the Supplement in this issue) should reassure you that I am not angry or resentful that I did not receive exclusive treatment; and that neither did I actually expect to receive the opportunity to voice only my own point of view. I am curious, therefore, as to why such requirements were not made of either the Women's Supplement or the Homosexual Supplement. Do not men and non-feminist (non-radical feminist) women (both do exist, by the way) deserve the equal opportunity to have their opinions