

SEXIST CHICKEN

Dear Editor,

In reference to last week's letter to the editor by both Mr Paul Peacock and Miss Chanda K. Stevenson. I think that those supporting the article of Mary Brown's Fried Chicken as being a sexist article have the wrong concept of sexism. It is evident that there is no sexism involved in that advertisement.

I should remind you that it is advertisement that helps pay for the publishing of this STUDENT NEWSPAPER every week (and that allows YOU to voice your opinion). One has to really start reading through lines to see that this article was sexually inclined towards the

woman anatomy. Why do you anti-sexists (or actually think you are) have to scrutinize everything to see if there could be a sexist "slur". O.K. I agree if the article really involved sexism (whether it be towards women or men) then it should be withdrawn. But this isn't the case, it is but a simple advertising slogan. I think the problem mainly resides in those conservative types, who when they hear sexism or feminism draw their own conclusions of what those words really mean!!

Furthermore, as you stated people have the right to voice their own opinions so why wouldn't the company have that right? Fi-

nally I believe that this subject has gone far enough as Miss Heckbert reached her goal, she raised controversy!

B.E.D.

Editor:

At a Chinese restaurant, I was given a fortune cookie which read, "It is time to clear the air." Well, it must be time...

First, I'm quite surprised by the amount of space dedicated to the latest issues of the GEM on the topic of Mary Brown's fried chicken. The ad in question is based on a sexual stereotype, but I don't consider this case or its proponents to be serious. It is the same process of thought which sanctions the "Mark's Work Warehouse" advertisement (back cover of the last issue) because, "the woman is in a superior position to the man."

I call to the attention of Ms. Heckbert, Ms. Murphy, and Mr. Peacock the request for help by the Canadian Cancer Society in a previous

GEM. Our Federal government has introduced legislation (Bill C-51) to limit the advertising and promotion of tobacco products. Since the tobacco industry has begun a highly funded fight against this bill, it is up to individual Canadians, concerned Canadians, to encourage members of parliament to support this legislation. If you condone the expansion of the tobacco markets to the third world and to North American youth, then sit back and do nothing. If, on the other hand, you care as much about the health of 'future smokers' as you do about 'sexual stereotyping' in the GEM, then you will surely send a short letter to your M.P. expressing this. Remember, any mail sent to a member of parliament is postage free.

I am not a representative of the Canadian Cancer Society. I do apologise to this fine agency for involving their cause with a Chickengate scandal, but I feel it is necessary to make

sure everyone involved gets their busy little pens performing some further constructive work for the protection of all our health.

James Connolly

SMOKE DETECTORS NEEDED

Mr. Editor:

As a full-time student attending studies in Dalton Hall, and after the recent evacuation therein, I suggest the installation of smoke detectors. I have noticed that none exist in Dalton Hall. If this situation exists in any other campus facility it should be rectified.

The situation arose Friday, that certain classes had not been notified of the situation or evacuated, and stayed upstairs after the Fire Dept. declared the evacuation complete.

Early warning of smoke intrusion should be a first priority, since smoke inhalation dulls one's senses.

There are heat sensors

Cont. on page 6

A NOTE OF APPRECIATION

Dear sir,

This is just a note to say thank you, you're doing a good job. I have been a student here at UPEI for four long years and of those four years this is the only one of which the "Gem" is really

worth reading, the content is of both greater quantity,

and quality. I commend you and your staff on your efforts this far, and add, keep up the good work!

Lloyd A Bruce.

The Week Ahead At



... nobody does it better

**FRIDAY & SATURDAY
BUCK NIGHT AT JIMS**

9:30 - 10:30