

LETTERS CONT FROM PG. 5
 yet another point, this time the one made by "Concerned Reader". From our interpretation, (at least), the latter reasoned that any individual who would intentionally destroy the new life brought about by his/her actions could not have much respect-let alone love-for his/her sexual partner. The act could not possibly have been committed in a spirit of loving and mutual self-giving, if the individuals involved reject the product of the act--a new person, genetically formed from each of them. In other words, how can a woman love a man, yet consent to kill the child he sired? ---This is what we derived from the letter of "Concerned Reader".

Finally, we would like to take two statements of Professor Gifford and question their benefit to his argument. He states "Simply because something has been done for some time does not make it desirable." We couldn't agree more. Abortion has been going on for some time, as has widespread promiscuity; that does not make them "desirable" or even right.

And for the grand finale: "Why should seals suffer because of human unemployment?" We only ask, "Why should unborn children suffer because of world "over-population", or because of human lack of restraint over "natural desires?"

We live in a society that says "If it feels good, do it", and "If it gets in the way, get rid of it." Strange that proponents of this philosophy fail to see that they themselves stand to lose in the long run.

Sincerely,
 Valerie Moore
 Marsha Gaudet

Dear Editor,

I am writing in response to a letter which appeared in the "letters to the editor" section in the last edition of your paper. The letter I am referring to was written by Prof. Gifford of the Psychology Dept.

Prof. Gifford begins by freely criticizing the abortion article written by authors Gaudet and Moore. This appears to be his main intent until I discovered that in the second paragraph Prof. Gifford has decided to comment on the hypocrisy of the Catholic Women's League in Southport as well. Being somewhat

confused I read on only to discover, to my amazement, that Prof. Gifford has included another letter to his all-encompassing critical analysis, this one apparently written by a "concerned reader." In the course of his collective criticism Prof. Gifford also manages to include comments on such diverse topics as unemployment, the creation of a viable synthetic fur industry in NFLD repression of the adult human being's normal desire for sex, and a curious Old-time NFLD sport entitled "Let's Go Shoot Some Beothuks."

Being totally unfamiliar with this method of argumentation, I will confine my comments to the beginning of Prof. Gifford's letter which concerned, I believe, his concern with the use of what he felt was "emotionalist propaganda." I might point out that the deliberate termination of human life (frequently called murder) is an emotional issue. Human beings not only "think" they "feel" as well, some moreso than others, I might add. When the human life being terminated is that of a defenceless unborn child, the response to such an action is "naturally" emotional as well as cognitive as both are necessary components of any stable and mature individual.

Concerning the peices en-

titled "Diary of an Unborn Child" and the "Ballad of the Unborn" which accompanied the abortion article in question, these are works of art which serve to express the very real human potential of an unborn child, something which is undeniably existant but often overlooked. I am sure Prof. Gifford is capable of much clearer thinking than that which is demonstrated in his letter.

Respectfully,
 Kerry G. Moore

Dear Editor,

This is just a note of appreciation both to the authors and to your newspaper for the excellent and though provoking article on abortion which was featured in the SUN.

How can any compassionate human being even consider the injustice of the seal hunt and remain aloof from the ceaseless slaughter of human infants?

It is time for each of us to set his priorities in order and to take a stand on the real delimas that confront contemporary society. Let us not pretend to show our charity and concern by feigning to be appalled at such a comparatively insignificant issue as the seal hunt. Save our energies for demonstrations and appeals against the deadliest atracity we are faced with

today--the murder of innocent unborn human beings!

Sincerely
 Keith Kennific

Dear Director,

How do you do?

I shall appreciate it very much if you would give your valuable time little to my request.

Well...I like your country very very much, especially Prince Edward Island. And I've been desiring for a long time to get some contact with some people in your island to correspond with. But I did not know how to do it.

Recently, however, I've learned that best way is to write directly to your university. So here I am.

Well, I am going to tell you something about myself. My name is Keiko Mochida and I am a Japanese girl. My hobbies are reading, painting and travelling. In sports, I like swimming and basketball. Of course I really want to correspond with a girl or boy in your island. Don't you think it's a good idea if we could understand each other by exchanging some stamps, cards, and news or informations about our school friends, daily activities, and even world events!?!?

I love scenery of your country, and travelling around your country is my dream. Well, it might be too much to ask you but if you can introduce someone in your university to me, or if you can put my letter in school papers, I would be so pleased!

Thank you very much!
 Yours very truly,
 Keiko Mochida.

An introduction card, complete with a dainty leaf in true Japanese style, was inclosed with the following information:

INTRODUCTION CARD

Name: Keiko Mochida
 Address: 630 Okanomiya
 Numazu City
 Shizuoka 410
 JAPAN
 Age: 18 Sex: Female
 Hobby: Reading, Painting, Travelling, and Collecting dolls and postcards.
 Sports: Basketball and Swimming.

RUMOR HATH IT

There was no one at the General Meeting on Wednesday because someone forgot to put up the notices. The Great Flood of 78 has started.

Last weeks Pig & Whistle was the last one of the year.

The Student Union has paid of \$45,000 in past debts and now own the Barn completely

The yearbook staff is once again having problems.

The Athletic Awards night is next Wednesday.

There will be no more movies on Sunday night
 People intrested in working on Orientation 78 should leave their names at the Student Union Office.

The Education Society are going on a canoe trip the last weekend in May

Final exams start in two weeks

This is the last SUN of 77-78

All student Union positions are open for next year.

The Campus Police are completely fed-up with their Student Union overseers.

The Personal Board still needs two male members for next year.

Pres. Baker is retiring his position

There may possibly be one more edition of the 1978 SUN. (in the middle of the summer)